



**DOE/ID-10914**  
**July 2001**

**RECEIVED**

**SEP 04 2001**

**DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**  
**TECHNICAL SERVICES OFFICE**

**Site 011 Track 1 Decision Documentation**  
**Package, OU 10-08**

**DECISION DOCUMENTATION PACKAGE  
COVER SHEET**

Prepared in accordance with

**TRACK 1 SITES:  
GUIDANCE FOR ASSESSING  
LOW PROBABILITY HAZARD SITES  
AT THE INEEL**

<b>Site Description:</b>	Debris West of the Southern End of Highway 22		
<b>Site ID:</b>	011	<b>Operable Unit:</b>	10-08
<b>Waste Area Group:</b>	10		

**I. Summary – Physical Description of the Site:**

Site 011 consists of two small debris piles located adjacent to an unmarked dirt road heading west approximately .9 miles north of the Highway 22/33 intersection. The debris is located .5 miles down the dirt road, which is adjacent to the old 1911 Salmon City Road. The closest facility is Test Area North (TAN) located ~7 miles northeast.

This site was originally listed as part of an environmental baseline assessment in 1994 and identified as potential new waste site in 1995. In accordance with Management Control Procedure-3448, "Reporting or Disturbance of Suspected Inactive Waste Sites" a new site identification form was completed for this site. As part of the process, a field team wrote a site description and collected photographs and global positioning system (GPS) coordinates of the site (the GPS coordinates are E324278.452 x N787615.356). The GPS coordinate system is listed as North American Datum 27, Idaho East Zone, State Plane Coordinates. The new site identification process also included a search and review of existing historical documentation.

INEEL WAG 10 and Cultural Resources investigated the site. Site investigations revealed two small domestic debris piles containing scattered early-model automobile body panels, (no engine is present), empty rusted cans, and weathered wood. There is no evidence to indicate that any of the debris found at the site was industrial in nature or related to INEEL operations. Cultural Resources personnel noted that the artifacts appear to be more that fifty years old (automobile circa. 1930's). Some artifacts are from mining-related activities.

There is no visual evidence of hazardous constituents, nor evidence that waste has recently been disposed of at this site. There is no evidence of disturbed vegetation, or stained or discolored soil. The ground surface shows well-established native grasses and sagebrush. The description of the site conditions is based on recent site investigations and INEEL Cultural Resource research; no field screening or sample data exist for this site.

**DECISION RECOMMENDATION**

**II. SUMMARY – Qualitative Assessment of Risk:**

There is no evidence that a source of contamination exists at this site, nor is there empirical, circumstantial or other evidence of contaminant migration. The reliability of information provided in this report is high. Field investigations, interviews with Cultural Resource personnel, and photographs revealed no visual evidence of hazardous substances that may present danger to human health or the environment. Therefore, the overall qualitative risk at Site 011 is considered low.

**III. SUMMARY – Consequences of Error:**

**False Negative Error:**

The possibility of contaminant levels at this site being above risk-based limits is remote. Field surveys and visual observations of the debris and surface soil showed no evidence of hazardous constituents, stained soil, odors, loss of vegetation, fibrous materials, or other indications of contamination.

**False Positive Error:**


If further action were completed at this low risk site, funds could exceed the environmental benefit. Surface soil sampling and analysis for organic compounds, metals, radionuclides and other hazardous constituents would be needed to confirm the presence or absence of contamination. Based on existing information, there is no need for further action at this site.

**IV. SUMMARY – Other Decision Drivers:**

INEEL Cultural Resource personnel determined that the debris likely resulted from domestic or mining activities. Due to the age of the artifacts found at the site it may be considered an Idaho State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) site. Prior to completing any further field investigations, a pedestrian inventory would need to be conducted to identify and evaluate cultural properties within the area of potential effects for cleanup activities, conduct a preliminary assessment of the potential impact of cleanup on any identified properties, and develop preliminary avoidance strategies or data recovery plans if necessary to avoid any adverse affects.

**Recommended Action:**

It is recommended that this newly identified site be classified as No Further Action. Field investigations, interviews with personnel having historical knowledge of the area, and photographs indicate it is highly unlikely that hazardous or radioactive materials were generated or disposed of at this site. It is located in a remote, abandoned area with no viable pathways or receptors. There is nothing present at this site that would indicate evidence of contaminant migration, or historical or threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants. There is no evidence that the debris is related to INEEL operations. This site is similar to several other small domestic trash piles across the INEEL that were related to either homesteads or stage crossings containing domestic, agricultural, or mining waste that does not pose a potential risk to human health or the environment.

<b>Signatures:</b>		<b># Pages:</b> 16	<b>Date:</b> July 26, 2001
Prepared By: Tom Haney		DOE WAG Manager: 	
Approved By: 		Independent Review: 	

DECISION STATEMENT  
(DOE RPM)

Date Received: 3/18/02

Disposition:

While some of the debris located at site 011 may be related to mining, there is no evidence of industrial chemical contamination. No remedial action is required.

Date: 4/02/02

# Pages: 1

Name: Kathleen Hain

Signature: Kathleen E Hain

DECISION STATEMENT  
(EPA RPM)

Date Received: 9/4/01

10-02-011


Disposition:

Debris piles not located near a hazardous site or facility. Photographic evidence supports the claim that site is a solid waste dump. No evidence to support industrial waste disposal. Therefore, no further remedial investigation appears necessary.

Date: 9/20/01

# Pages: 1

Name: Wayne Pierce

Signature: 

DECISION STATEMENT  
(IDEQ RPM)

Date Received: September 4, 2001

Disposition:

Site #011

Site #011 is 2 small debris piles located about 7 miles west south west of TAN. The debris piles contain automobile body panels (circa 1930's), empty rusted cans, and weathered wood. There is no evidence of hazardous constituents, disturbed vegetation, or stained or discolored soils. The state concurs this is a **no further action** site.

Date: 2/6/02

# Pages:

Name: DEAN J. NYGARD

Signature: *Dean J. Nygard*

PROCESS/WASTE WORKSHEET		
SITE ID: 011	PROCESS: Debris West of the Southern End of Highway 22	WASTE: Domestic/Mining Debris
<p><b>Col 1</b> Processes Associated with this Site</p> <p>Debris piles containing materials discarded from domestic or mining activities.</p>	<p><b>Col 2</b> Waste Description &amp; Handling Procedures</p> <p>Two small domestic/mining refuse piles likely abandoned by local residents or miners post-1930 timeframe.</p>	<p><b>Col 3</b> Description &amp; Location of any Artifacts/Structures/Disposal Areas Associated with this Waste or Process</p> <p><b>Artifact:</b> Domestic/Mining Debris</p> <p><b>Location:</b> This site is located adjacent to an unmarked dirt road heading west approximately .9 miles north of Highway 22/33 intersection. The debris is located .5 miles down the dirt road, which is adjacent to the 1911 Salmon City Road. TAN is located ~ 7 miles northeast.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Two small trash piles likely related to domestic or mining activities. The debris includes early-model automobile panels (no engine is present), empty rusted cans, and weathered wood.</p>

**CONTAMINANT WORKSHEET**

**SITE ID:** 011

**PROCESS:** Debris West of the Southern End of Highway 22

**WASTE:** Domestic/Mining Debris

Col 4 What Known/Potential Hazardous Substance/Constituents are Associated with this Waste or Process?	Col 5 Potential Sources Associated with this Hazardous Material	Col 6 Known/Estimated Concentration of Hazardous Substances/Constituents	Col 7 Risk-based Concentration	Col 8 Qualitative Risk Assessment (high/med/low)	Col 9 Overall Reliability (high/med/low)
None	Soil	None	Not Applicable	Low	High

**Question 1. What are the waste generation processes, locations, and dates of operation associated with this site?**

**Block 1 Answer:**

Site 011 contains two small debris piles, likely related to domestic or mining activities, located .9 miles north of the Highway 22/33 intersection. The debris includes early-model automobile body panels (no engine present), empty rusted cans, and weathered wood. It is estimated that the waste was abandoned more than fifty years ago.

**Block 2 How reliable are the information sources?  High  Med  Low**  
**Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation. (check one)**

Interviews with INEEL Cultural Resource and Environmental Restoration Environmental Safety and Health (ER ES&H) personnel revealed that the site is an old trash dump. Materials found at the site are from domestic or mining activities and pose no potential risk to human health or the environment.

**Block 3 Has this INFORMATION been confirmed?  Yes  No**  
**If so, describe the confirmation. (check one)**

Interviews were conducted with ER ES&H personnel and Cultural Resource personnel confirming the types of debris present, age of artifacts, and current conditions at the site.

**Block 4 Sources of Information (check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list)**

No Available Information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2, 5	Documentation about Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	QA Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	Safety Analysis Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/Site Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	D&D Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Summary Documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**Question 2. What are the disposal processes, locations, and dates of operation associated with this site? How was the waste disposed?**

**Block 1 Answer:**

INEEL WAG 10 and Cultural Resource personnel visited this site June 6, 2001. Site 011 was determined to be a domestic trash pile dating to the post-1930 timeframe. The site is located within the boundaries of the INEEL approximately .9 miles north of the Highway 22/33 intersection. The waste was likely abandoned by former homesteaders or miners more than fifty years ago.

**Block 2 How reliable are the information sources?  High  Med  Low**  
**Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation. (check one)**

Interviews with INEEL Cultural Resource personnel confirmed that the debris resulted from domestic or mining activities, unrelated to INEEL operations, and poses no threat to human health or the environment.

**Block 3 Has this INFORMATION been confirmed?  Yes  No**  
**If so, describe the confirmation. (check one)**

Interviews and site investigations confirm that the site is a domestic trash pile from the post-1930 timeframe. Photographs confirm the types of debris and current conditions at the site.

**Block 4 Sources of Information (check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list)**

No Available Information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2, 5	Documentation about Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	QA Data	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	Safety Analysis Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/Site Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	D&D Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Summary Documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**Question 3. Is there evidence that a source exists at this site? If so, list the sources and describe the evidence.**

**Block 1 Answer:**

There is no evidence that a source exists at Site 011. There is no evidence of hazardous constituents, disturbed vegetation, stained or discolored soil, or odors. The debris has been identified as being very old, from domestic/mining activities, and predating INEEL activities.

**Block 2 How reliable are the information sources?  High  Med  Low**  
**Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation. (check one)**

Site investigations conducted by INEEL WAG 10 and Cultural Resource personnel revealed that the debris is unrelated to INEEL activities and poses no threat to human health or the environment.

**Block 3 Has this INFORMATION been confirmed?  Yes  No**  
**If so, describe the confirmation. (check one)**

Interviews and site investigations confirm that the site is a domestic trash pile from the post-1930 timeframe. Photographs confirm the types of debris and current conditions at the site.

**Block 4 Sources of Information (check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list)**

No Available Information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2, 5	Documentation about Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	QA Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	Safety Analysis Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/Site Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	D&D Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Summary Documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**Question 4. Is there empirical, circumstantial, or other evidence of migration? If so, what is it?**

**Block 1 Answer:**

There is no evidence of migration at Site 011. Site investigations reveal no visual evidence of hazardous constituents, disturbed, stained or discolored soil areas, or odors. The vegetation appears to be well established. It has been determined that this site contains domestic debris. A June 6, 2001 site survey conducted by INEEL WAG 10 and Cultural Resource personnel determined that the debris is more than fifty years old and unrelated to INEEL operations.

**Block 2 How reliable are the information sources?  High  Med  Low**  
**Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation. (check one)**

Visual site inspections and photographs of the site show that vegetation is well established; therefore giving no indication of disturbance or the presence of contaminants.

**Block 3 Has this INFORMATION been confirmed?  Yes  No**  
**If so, describe the confirmation. (check one)**

This information was confirmed through site inspections, photographs, and Cultural Resource findings.

**Block 4 Sources of Information (check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list)**

No Available Information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2, 5	Documentation about Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	QA Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	Safety Analysis Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/Site Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	D&D Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Summary Documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**Question 5. Does site operating or disposal historical information allow estimation of the pattern of potential contamination? If the pattern is expected to be a scattering of hot spots, what is the expected minimum size of a significant hot spot?**

**Block 1 Answer:**

There is no expected pattern of potential contamination because there is no evidence of hazardous substances at this site. There is no evidence of stained or discolored soil in the area, odors or visual evidence of disturbed vegetation. Based on a Cultural Resource investigation the debris was determined to be domestic in nature and unrelated to INEEL activities. The pattern for other hazardous constituents (organics, metals, radionuclides, etc.) cannot be estimated without further field screening or soil sampling; however, because of the nature, age and weathered condition of the debris it is highly unlikely that these contaminants would be present at levels above risk-based limits.

**Block 2 How reliable are the information sources?  High  Med  Low**  
**Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation. (check one)**

This information was obtained from an environmental baseline assessment conducted in 1994, and from a subsequent site investigation conducted by INEEL Cultural Resource personnel. The investigations reveal that the debris is domestic in nature and more than fifty years old. Photographs indicate that the soil is not stained or discolored and vegetation near the debris is well established.

**Block 3 Has this INFORMATION been confirmed?  Yes  No**  
**If so, describe the confirmation. (check one)**

This information was confirmed through site inspections, photographs and INEEL Cultural Resource historical research.

**Block 4 Sources of Information (check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list)**

No Available Information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2, 5	Documentation about Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	QA Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	Safety Analysis Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/Site Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	D&D Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Summary Documents	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	Well Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**Question 6. Estimate the length, width, and depth of the contaminated region. What is the known or estimated volume of the source? If this is an estimated volume, explain carefully how the estimate was derived.**

**Block 1 Answer:**

Site investigations and photographs indicate that Site 011 covers an area approximately 50 ft by 50 ft. The two small debris piles contain early-model automobile body panels (no engine present), empty rusted cans, and weathered wood. A Cultural Resource investigation revealed that no residuals were found in the empty rusted cans. There is no evidence of a source at this site or contaminated region to estimate because there is no evidence of hazardous or radioactive materials.

**Block 2 How reliable are the information sources?  High  Med  Low**  
**Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation. (check one)**

This information was obtained from an Environmental Baseline Assessment conducted in 1994, and a subsequent investigation conducted by INEEL Cultural Resources. Neither gave any indication that the debris contains anything that would cause potential contamination. Photographs of the area show that the vegetation is well established, and there is no evidence of stained or discolored soil.

**Block 3 Has this INFORMATION been confirmed?  Yes  No**  
**If so, describe the confirmation. (check one)**

This information was confirmed through site inspections, interviews, photographs and INEEL Cultural Resource historical research.

**Block 4 Sources of Information (check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list)**

No Available Information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2, 5	Documentation about Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	QA Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	Safety Analysis Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/Site Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	D&D Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Summary Documents	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	Well Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**Question 7. What is the known or estimated quantity of hazardous substance/constituent at this source? If the quantity is an estimate, explain carefully how the estimate was derived.**

**Block 1 Answer:**

The estimated quantity of hazardous substances/constituents at this site is near zero because there is no evidence of any hazardous or radioactive material present. The site consists of debris that resulted from either domestic or mining activities and is more than fifty years old. As confirmed by Cultural Resource personnel, the debris is old, weathered, and unrelated to INEEL activities.

**Block 2 How reliable are the information sources?  High  Med  Low**  
**Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation. (check one)**

This information was obtained from an Environmental Baseline Assessment, a Cultural Resource investigation, and photographs. The site investigations revealed no visual evidence of contamination. Photographs taken in 1999 of this site show well established vegetation, giving no evidence of disturbance or hazardous constituents.

**Block 3 Has this INFORMATION been confirmed?  Yes  No**  
**If so, describe the confirmation. (check one)**

This information was confirmed through site inspections, photographs and Cultural Resource historical research.

**Block 4 Sources of Information (check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list)**

No Available Information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2, 5	Documentation about Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	QA Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	Safety Analysis Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/Site Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	D&D Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Summary Documents	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	Well Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**Question 8. Is there evidence that this hazardous substance/constituent is present at the source as it exists today? If so, describe the evidence.**

**Block 1 Answer:**

There is no evidence that a hazardous substance or constituent is present at levels that require action at this site. Cultural Resource personnel confirm that the debris resulted from domestic or mining activities, is more that fifty years old and unrelated to INEEL operations.

**Block 2 How reliable are the information sources?  High  Med  Low**  
**Explain the reasoning behind this evaluation. (check one)**

This evaluation is based on interviews, site visitations, and photographs of the area. The site shows no soil staining, and the vegetation in and around the site appears to be well established. There is no evidence of hazardous constituents.

**Block 3 Has this INFORMATION been confirmed?  Yes  No**  
**If so, describe the confirmation. (check one)**


This information was confirmed through site inspections, Cultural Resource historical research, interviews and photographs.

**Block 4 Sources of Information (check appropriate box(es) & source number from reference list)**

No Available Information	<input type="checkbox"/>	Analytical Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anecdotal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2, 5	Documentation about Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Historical Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disposal Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current Process Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	QA Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Photographs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	Safety Analysis Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Engineering/Site Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>	D&D Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unusual Occurrence Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Initial Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Summary Documents	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	Well Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facility SOPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**REFERENCES**

1. DOE, 1992, "Track 1 Sites: Guidance for Assessing Low Probability Sites at the INEL", DOE/ID-10390 (92), Revision 1, U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Falls, Idaho, July.
2. Interview with an Environmental Baseline Assessment team member, February 6-7, 2001.
3. Photographs of Site 011: PN99-0456-2-16, PN99-0456-2-17, PN99-0456-2-18, PN99-0456-2-19, and PN99-0456-2-20.
4. FY 1999 WAG 10 Newly Identified Sites, Volumes I. and II.
5. Interviews with Brenda Ringe Pace, INEEL Cultural Resources Management, February 7 and May 16, 2001.



To Salmon

To Dubois

19

32

28

24

23

Birch Creek

36

22

Antelope Butte

LOFT

IET

TAN

TSF

WRRTF

Debris West of the South Fork of Highway 22

Circular Butte

T-9

Playas

11

14

16

18

T-20

In Blvd.


T-9

## **Attachment A**

### **Photographs of Site #011**



Site: 011, Debris West of the Southern End of Highway 22  
(PN99-0456-2-16)



Site: 011, Debris West of the Southern End of Highway 22  
(PN99-0456-2-17)



Site: 011, Debris West of the Southern End of Highway 22  
(PN99-0456-2-18)



Site: 011, Debris West of the Southern End of Highway 22  
(PN99-0456-2-19)



Site: 011, Debris West of the Southern End of Highway 22  
(PN99-0456-2-20)

## **Attachment B**

### **Supporting Information for Site #011**

### NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION

#### Part A – To Be Completed By Observer

- |   |                 |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. Person Initiating Report: Jacob Harris | Phone: 526-1877 |
| Contractor WAG Manager: Douglas Burns     | Phone: 526-4324 |
2. Site Title: 011, Debris West of the Southern End of Highway 22
3. Describe the conditions that indicate a possible inactive or unreported waste site. Include location and description of suspicious condition, amount or extent of condition and date observed. A location map and/or diagram identifying the site against controlled survey points or global positioning system descriptors shall be included to help with the site visit. Include any known common names or location descriptors for the waste site.
- There is debris located adjacent to an unmarked dirt road heading west approximately .9 miles north of the highway 22/33 intersection. Approximately .5 miles along this dirt road are two debris piles that contain rusted cans and wood. One site contains the remains of old car body panels. The GPS coordinates of the site are E324278.452 by N787615.356. The reference number for this site is 011 and can be found on the summary map as provided.

#### Part B – To Be Completed By Contractor WAG Manager

4. Recommendation:
- This site meets the requirements for an inactive waste site, requires investigation, and should be included in the INEEL FFA/CO Action Plan. Proposed Operable Unit assignment is recommended to be included in the FFA/CO.  
WAG: \_\_\_\_\_ Operable Unit: \_\_\_\_\_
- This site DOES NOT meet the requirements for an inactive waste site, DOES NOT require investigation and SHOULD NOT be included in the INEEL FFA/CO Action Plan.
5. Basis for the recommendation:
- The conditions that exist at this site indicate the potential for an inactive waste site according to Section 2 of MCP-3448 Reporting or Disturbance of Suspected Inactive Waste Sites.
- The basis for recommendation must include: (1) source description; (2) exposure pathways; (3) potential contaminants of concern; and (4) descriptions of interfaces with other programs, as applicable (e.g., D&D, Facility Operations, etc.)
6. Contractor WAG Manager Certification: I have examined the proposed site and the information submitted in this document and believe the information to be true, accurate, and complete. My recommendation is indicated in Section 4 above.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_