



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 10
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101

March 25, 2002

Reply To
Attn Of:113

Ms. Kathleen Hain, Manager
Environmental Restoration Program
U.S. Department of Energy
Idaho Operations Office
850 Energy Drive
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401-1563

Re: EPA Review of the *Construction Complete Report for the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Central Facilities Area, Operable Unit 4-13, Transformer Yard (CFA-10) (Draft)*

Dear Ms. Hain,

EPA received the above-referenced document on February 22, 2002. EPA has reviewed the draft report and has enclosed a few comments. It would be helpful if these comments were addressed at the time that this report is incorporated into the final Remedial Action Report.

Please contact me at (206) 553-0040 if there are any questions concerning these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kathy Ivy".

Kathy Ivy
Remedial Project Manager

Enclosure

cc: Carol Hathaway, DOE-ID
Clyde Cody, IDEQ

**EPA March 2002 Comments on the *Construction Complete Report*
for the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Central Facilities Area,
Operable Unit 4-13, Transformer Yard (CFA-10) (Draft)–February 2002**

1. Page 17, Section 6: It should be clarified that EPA and IDEQ requested that construction photographs and information be mailed for review in lieu of joining DOE for the on-site inspection considering the relatively uncomplicated nature of the site.

This section also refers to a closeout letter sent to the agencies November 14, 2001. This letter does not appear to be included in Appendix D as stated. I assume that this letter is the transmittal of final inspection documentation dated November 16, 2001. This letter should be added to Appendix D or included in Appendix E with the treatment and disposal information that was transmitted.

2. Page 18, Section 7.1: This section should explain the difference between “remediation sampling” and “post-remediation sampling” in terms of the sectors that were sampled and the results that are provided in the tables in Appendix C.

This section could also mention that post-remediation sampling showed that lead levels were below the BMP target of 170 mg/kg at nearly all sectors and that the average concentration at the site was below this level.

3. Appendix B: Pictures of excavation activities should also list the sector that is being excavated and describe the depth of the excavation (e.g. 6 inches across the site, 6-18 inches at Sector 5, and 6-12 inches at Sector 1).

4. Appendix D: The cover letter transmitting the post-remediation sampling L&V reports dated October 18, 2001 should be included in this report.

On page 64 of this appendix, a copy of the transformer yard sign is included. This photograph should be accompanied by an explanation that the sign was removed following construction completion.